

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/27/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,822	02/26/2004	Dong Jae You	10125/4116 7312		
7590 03/27/2006			EXAMINER		
Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione Post Office Box 10395			SCHECHTER, ANDREW M		
Chicago, IL 60610			ART UNIT PAPER		
			2871		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/701,822	YOU ET AL.	M				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
	•	Andrew Schechter	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailine department term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this com NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 January 2006</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⋈ 7)⋈ 8)□ Applicati 9)⋈ 10)⋈	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-10,14-21,29,30,34 Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6,11,12,22-28,31-33,43,44,46 and Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/of on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2004 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath of the oath of	1-42 and 45 is/are withdrawn from 47 is/are rejected. or election requirement. er. ee: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is one	ted to by the Examine See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR	R 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice Notice Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:		152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "a hardened part substantially prevents" should be "a hardened part that substantially prevents".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 22 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 22 and 46 recite the limitation that a temperature difference is minimized. Minimized relative to what standard? Minimized relative to what alternative? The specification does not appear to give sufficient guidance [see MPEP 2173.05(b)] for one of ordinary skill in the art to know if the temperature difference has been "minimized" to the smallest possible degree or simply reduced from what it would otherwise been had

the device been different in some manner (presumably without the hardened part). For examining purposes, it is assumed that any reduction in the temperature difference from what it would have been without the hardened part is sufficient to satisfy the claim language.

5. Claim 47 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Considering the wavy pattern of warping shown in Fig. 2, it is not clear which direction is the "direction of warping generated by the heat from the light source" as recited in claim 47. For examining purposes, it is assumed that this direction is considered to be parallel to the edge along which the light source is placed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-5, 11, 12, 22, 23, 25-27, 43, 44, 46, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by *Mashino et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 5,886,759.

Mashino discloses [see Figs. 1 and 5, for instance] a display comprising a light source [36], a display panel [62] having a display region, and at least one optical sheet [39] through which light from the light source passes and having region most proximate to the light source with a hardened part [the sandwich on the left in Fig. 5, from the light guide 37 to the reflector sheet 70 and everything in-between] that substantially prevents heat from the light source from being transferred to a display region of the at least one optical sheet corresponding to the display region of the display panel [apart from shielding light, the sandwich also acts as a heat sink to the light guide and the reflector sheet, thereby keeping the optical sheet to its right relatively cool, compared to if the hardened part was absent, thus substantially preventing heat from being transferred]. Claim 1 is therefore anticipated.

Mashino also discloses the analogous method of manufacturing this display, so claim 23 is also anticipated.

There is a light guide panel [37] and the optical sheet is a diffusion sheet, so claims 2 and 25 are also anticipated. The optical sheet comprises a non-display region in which the hardened part is formed, so claim 3 is also anticipated. As seen in Fig. 1A, there is a non-display region of the display panel, and the hardened part overlaps it and overlaps only it, so claims 4, 5, 26, 27, 43, and 44 are also anticipated. The hardened part comprises a projecting part [79], so claim 11 is also anticipated. The projecting part projects towards the display panel, so claim 12 is also anticipated. A temperature difference across the display region of the optical sheet is minimized (reduced), so claims 22 and 46 are also anticipated. The hardened part is aligned in a direction of

Application/Control Number: 10/701,822

Art Unit: 2871

warping generated by the heat from the light source [parallel the edge of the light source, as discussed under 35 USC 112], so claim 47 is also anticipated.

8. Claims 1, 2, 11, 12, 22-25, 31-33, 46, and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by *Jang et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 6,891,580.

[The applied reference has a common assignee and inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.]

Jang discloses [see Fig. 4, for instance] a display comprising a light source [220], a display panel [10], an optical sheet [260, 270, 280] through which light from the light source passes and having a region most proximate to the light source with a hardened part [see below] that substantially prevents heat from the light source from being transferred [see below] to a display region of the optical sheet corresponding to the display region of the display panel.

Jang does not explicitly refer to the bent portion shown in Fig. 4 as a "hardened part". However, it is bent which requires stress in the sheet, and according to the present specification [see paragraph 0072 of the specification, for instance], the stress involved in bending the sheets inherently causes part of it to be hardened [the amount of hardening will vary, presumably related to the amount of stress in bending]. Thus,

the bent portion being, to at least a certain extent, a "hardened part" is inherent. Similarly, the bent portion / hardened part increases the thermal resistance [again an inherent feature discussed in the present specification], so it is inherent that Jang's device satisfies the limitation that it substantially prevents [at least some] heat from being transferred. [The examiner notes that an argument that these features are not inherent in Jang but depend on, for instance, the specific bending process, would raise the issue of whether the present specification enables the claimed invention to be made and used by one of ordinary skill under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.] Claim 1 is therefore anticipated.

Jang also discloses the analogous method of manufacturing this display, so claim 23 is also anticipated.

There is a light guide panel [240] and the optical sheet comprises a diffusion sheet [260], so claims 2 and 25 are also anticipated. The hardened part comprises a projecting part, so claim 11 is also anticipated. The projecting part projects towards the display panel, so claim 12 is also anticipated. A temperature difference across the display region of the optical sheet is minimized (reduced), so claims 22 and 46 are also anticipated. The method comprises forming the hardened part in the optical sheet, so claim 24 is also anticipated. The optical sheet contained the hardened part having a projecting portion that projects towards the display panel, so claim 31 is also anticipated. The method comprises forming the projecting portion in the optical sheet. so claim 32 is also anticipated. The projecting portion comprises a convex part [the hardened part is at the bend, and the bend is convex when viewed from above], so

claim 33 is also anticipated. The hardened part is aligned in a direction of warping generated by the heat from the light source [parallel the edge of the light source, as discussed under 35 USC 112], so claim 47 is also anticipated.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 6 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Mashino et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 5,886,759 in view of *Jeong et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 6,595,651.

Mashino does not disclose the additional limitation that the hardened part is formed on opposing sides of the optical sheet. Mashino discloses a single lamp and a single hardened part. Having a single lamp and having two opposing lamps are art-recognized equivalents, as evidenced by Jeong [compare Figs. 6 and 11, for instance]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to make the device of Mashino with two opposing lamps [as shown in Jeong's Fig. 11], motivated by the art-recognized equivalence of doing so. The device would then have two hardened portions, one adjacent to each lamp [Mashino teaches the hardened portions are principally used to prevent light leakage, so each lamp would have one], formed on opposing sides, so claims 6 and 28 are therefore unpatentable.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,822

Art Unit: 2871

Page 8

Allowable Subject Matter

- 11. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art does not disclose the device of claim 13, in particular the additional limitation that height of the projecting part is about 0.15 mm to about 0.2 mm. Claim 13 would therefore be allowable if rewritten appropriately.

Election/Restrictions

13. Applicant's election without traverse of Species I, Embodiment 1, shown in Figs.3 and 4 in the reply filed on 3 January 2006 is acknowledged.

In a telephone conversation with Sid Bennett, Reg. No. 53,981, on 16 March 2006, it was agreed that claims 11-13 and 31-33 would be taken to read on the elected species, rather than claims 14-17, 33, 36, and 37 as stated in the reply.

14. Claims 7-10, 14-21, 29, 30, 34-42, and 45 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 3 January 2006.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Schechter whose telephone number is (571) 272-2302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on (571) 272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Schechter
Primary Examiner

Technology Center 2800

17 March 2006